DOI: 10.1111/anec.12495

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Total cosine R-to-T for predicting ventricular arrhythmic and mortality outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Gary Tse MPH, PhD, FESC, FACC, FRCP^{1,2} I Mengqi Gong BS³ | Cheuk Wai Wong⁴ | Cynthia Chan⁴ | Stamatis Georgopoulos MD⁵ | Yat Sun Chan MBBS, FRCP, FACC¹ | Bryan P. Yan MBBS, FRCP, FACC¹ | Guangping Li MD, PhD³ | Paula Whittaker MBChB, MPH, MMed, MRCGP⁶ | Ana Ciobanu MD, PhD⁷ | Sadeq Ali-Hasan-Al-Saegh MD⁸ | Sunny H. Wong DPhil, MRCP^{1,2} | William K. K. Wu PhD, FRCPath^{2,9} | George Bazoukis MD⁵ | Konstantinos Lampropoulos MD, PhD, FESC⁵ | Wing Tak Wong PhD¹⁰ | Lap Ah Tse MB, PhD¹¹ | Adrian M. Baranchuk MD, FACC, FRCPC, FCCS¹² | Konstantinos P. Letsas MD, FESC⁵ | Tong Liu MD, PhD³ | International Health Informatics Study (IHIS) Network

¹Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

²Faculty of Medicine, Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

³Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Ionic-Molecular Function of Cardiovascular disease, Tianjin Institute of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China

⁴Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

⁵Second Department of Cardiology, Laboratory of Cardiac Electrophysiology, "Evangelismos" General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁶Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Cardiology, Theodor Burghele Clinical Hospital, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

⁸Cardiovascular Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

⁹Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, State Key Laboratory of Digestive Disease, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

¹⁰School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

¹¹Division of Occupational and Environmental Health, JC School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China ¹²Department of Medicine, Kingston General Hospital, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

Correspondence

Konstantinos P. Letsas, MD, FESC, Second Department of Cardiology, Laboratory of Cardiac Electrophysiology, "Evangelismos" General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece. Email: k.letsas@gmail.com and

Tong Liu MD, PhD, Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Institute of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China. Email: liutongdoc@126.com

Funding information

Croucher Foundation, Grant/Award Number: Clinical Assistant Professorship **Background**: The total cosine R-to-T (TCRT), a vectorcardiographic marker reflecting the spatial difference between the depolarization and repolarization wavefronts, has been used to predict ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation (VT/VF) and sudden cardiac death (SCD) in different clinical settings. However, its prognostic value has been controversial.

Objective: This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated the significance of TRCT in predicting arrhythmic and/or mortality endpoints.

Methods: PubMed and Embase databases were searched through December 31, 2016.

Results: Of the 890 studies identified initially, 13 observational studies were included in our meta-analysis. A total of 11,528 patients, mean age 47 years old, 72% male,

were followed for 43 ± 6 months. Data from five studies demonstrated lower TCRT values in myocardial infarction patients with adverse events (syncope, ventricular arrhythmias, or sudden cardiac death) compared to those without these events (mean difference = -0.36 ± 0.05 , p < .001; $l^2 = 48\%$). By contrast, only two studies analyzed outcomes in heart failure, and pooled meta-analysis did not demonstrate significant difference in TCRT between event-positive and event-negative patients (mean difference = -0.01 ± 0.10 , p > .05; $l^2 = 80\%$).

Conclusion: TCRT is lower in MI patients at high risk of adverse events when compared to those free from such events. It can provide additional risk stratification beyond the use of clinical parameters and traditional electrocardiogram markers. Its value in other diseases such as heart failure requires further studies.

KEYWORDS

risk stratification, sudden cardiac death, total cosine R-to-T, ventricular arrhythmias

1 | INTRODUCTION

The total cosine R-to-T (TCRT) is a descriptor of T-wave morphology derived from computed analysis of vectorcardiograms that are reconstructed from the standard 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) (Acar, Yi, Hnatkova, & Malik, 1999; Ono, Saitoh, & Itakura, 2006; Perkiomaki, Hyytinen-Oinas, & Karsikas, 2006). It is defined as the average of the cosines of the angles between the QRS and T vectors, reflecting the spatial difference between the depolarization and repolarization wavefronts (Belloch et al., 2007). TCRT can be determined with the eigenvalue method, principal component analysis (PCA) (Ono et al., 2006; Vicente, Johannesen, & Mason, 2015). Previous studies have demonstrated success in using TCRT for the stratification of arrhythmic and mortality risks (Gang, Hnatkova, Mandal, Ghuran, & Malik, 2004; Hnatkova, Ryan, & Bathen, 2001; Huang, Lin, Yu, & Ho, 2009; Kentta, Karsikas, & Junttila, 2011; Porthan, Viitasalo, & Jula, 2009; Zabel et al., 2000; Zabel, Malik, & Hnatkova, 2002). However, other studies have failed to demonstrate significant prognostic value. One study showed predictive value in univariate but not multivariate analysis (Perkiomaki, Hyytinen-Oinas, & Karsikas, 2006), whereas another study did not quite achieve statistical significance due to a small sample size (Korhonen, Husa, & Konttila, 2009). Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the value of TCRT in predicting arrhythmic or mortality outcomes.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Search strategy, inclusion, and exclusion criteria

The meta-analysis was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement. MEDLINE and Embase were searched for studies that investigated the relationship between the total cosine R-to-T (TCRT) with arrhythmic or mortality endpoints using the following terms: ("TCRT" or "T-wave morphology" or "total cosine R-to-T" or "spatial QRS-T angle"). The search period was from 1999 through to 31st December 2016, with no language restrictions. The following inclusion criteria were applied: (i) the design was a case-control, prospective or retrospective cohort study in humans, (ii) TCRT values were related to endpoint events appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy (ICD), ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation (VT/VF), sudden cardiac death (SCD), cardiovascular death (CVD), or all-cause mortality were reported.

The quality assessment of these studies included in our metaanalysis was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS). The point score system evaluated the categories of study participant selection, comparability of the results, and quality of the outcomes. The following characteristics were assessed: (i) representativeness of the exposed cohort; (ii) selection of the nonexposed cohort; (iii) ascertainment of exposure; (iv) demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start of study; (v) comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis; (vi) assessment of outcomes; (vii) follow-up period sufficiently long for outcomes to occur; and (viii) adequacy of follow-up of cohorts. This scale varied from zero to nine stars, which indicated that studies were graded as poor quality if they met <5 criteria, fair if they met five to seven criteria, and good if they met >8 criteria. The details of the NOS quality assessment are shown in Tables S1 and S2.

2.2 | Data extraction and statistical analysis

Data from the different studies were entered in pre-specified spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel. All publications identified were assessed for compliance with the inclusion criteria. In this meta-analysis, the extracted data elements consisted of the following: (i) publication details: last name of first author, publication year and locations; (ii) study design; (iii) follow-up duration; (iv) method of TCRT calculation; (v) endpoint(s); (vi) the quality score; and (vii) the characteristics



FIGURE 1 Flow diagram of the study selection process

of the population including sample size, gender, age, and number of subjects. Meta-analyses of observational studies are challenging due to differences in study designs and inherent biases. This systematic review was conducted in accordance to PRISMA statement (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009) and registered with PROSPERO. Two reviewers (GT and MG) independently reviewed each included study and disagreements were resolved by adjudication with input from a third reviewer (TL).

The endpoints of the study were the occurrences of ventricular arrhythmias (VT/VF), SCD, cardiovascular death, or all-cause mortality. The definition of these endpoints used in the different studies was analyzed. If more than one mortality endpoint was described, then SCD was preferentially used for analysis, followed by cardiovascular death and all-cause mortality. Mean differences in TCRT values between patients with adverse cardiac events (syncope, ventricular arrhythmias, or sudden cardiac death) compared to those without these events were extracted from each study and subsequently pooled in our meta-analysis. Heterogeneity across studies was determined using Cochran's Q value, which is the weighted sum of squared differences between individual study effects and the pooled effect across studies, and the l^2 statistic from the standard chi-square test, which describes the percentage of the variability in the effect estimates resulting from heterogeneity. $l^2 > 50\%$ was considered to reflect significant statistical heterogeneity. The fixed effect model was used if $l^2 < 50\%$, whereas the random-effects model using the inverse variance heterogeneity method was used when $l^2 > 50\%$. To locate the origin of the heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis excluding one study at a time, and subgroup analyses based on different disease conditions and different endpoints were performed. Funnel plots, Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test, and Egger's test were used to assess for possible publication bias.

3 | RESULTS

A flow diagram detailing the above search terms with inclusion and exclusion criteria is depicted in Figure 1. A total of 361 and 529 entries were retrieved from PubMed and Embase, respectively. Comparing with the entries extracted from the PubMed search, 350 duplicate entries from the Embase were found and removed. This yielded 540 publications, of which 13 studies met our inclusion criteria (Batchvarov et al., 2003; Hnatkova et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2009; Kentta et al., 2011; Korhonen et al., 2009; Lin, Lin, & Chen, 2009; Malik, Hnatkova, & Batchvarov, 2004; Perkiomaki et al., 2006; Porthan, Viitasalo, & Jula, 2009; Shi, Harding, & Larsen, 2016; Zabel et al., 2000, 2002).

In the final meta-analysis, 11,528 subjects (mean age: 47 years old, 72% male) with a follow-up duration of 43 ± 6 months were included. TCRT was studied in different clinical settings: myocardial infarction in six studies, heart failure in two studies, coronary artery disease in two studies, ICD implant subjects in one study, end-stage renal failure in one study, and the general population in one study. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of these studies and of the study populations. Six were prospective studies and 7 were retrospective studies.

Twelve of the 13 studies reported significant, inverse relationship between TCRT and increased risk of VT/VF or mortality. The pooled meta-analysis demonstrated that TCRT was significantly lower in patients with adverse events (syncope, ventricular arrhythmias, or sudden cardiac death) compared to those who did not suffer from these events across the range of clinical conditions studied ($l^2 = 99\%$) (standard mean difference = -0.34 ± 0.14 , p < .05; Figure 2). The Cochrane's Q value was greater than the degrees of freedom (1,221 vs. 12), indicating that the true effect size was different between studies. Funnel plot plotting standard errors or precision against the logarithms of the odds ratio are shown in Figures S1 and S2, respectively.

	Ref	(Shi et al., 2016)	(Kentta et al., 2011)	(Huang et al., 2009)	(Lin et al., 2009)	(Porthan et al., 2009)	(Korhonen et al., 2009)	(Lin et al., 2007) (Continues)
	Quality score	ω	v	α 	6	Ŷ	У 	Ŋ
	Variables in multivariate model	Male gender, secondary prevention, presence of premature ventricular beat, spatial QRS-T angle, T-wave morphology dispersion, relative T-wave residuum	Age, sex, body mass index, LVEF, smoking, beta-blockers, diabetes, coronary heart disease, previous myocardial infarction, baseline heart rate, maximum heart rate, heart rate recovery at 1 min post-exercise, metabolic equivalent, ST-segment depression during baseline and maximum depression during the exercise test.	Age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, etiology of systolic heart failure, hemoglobin, serun creatinine, medication use (including β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, angioten- sin-II receptor blocker, spironolactone, or amiodarone), QRS duration, QT maximum and dispersion, left ventricular ejection fraction, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, left ventricular mass	Age, gender, left ventricular ejection fraction, left ventricular hypertrophy	Age, current smoking status, body mass index, systolic BP, diastolic BP, total cholesterol/ high-density lipoprotein ratio, ECG LVH status, as well as history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, and myocardial infarction), QT interval, principal component analysis ratio, T-wave morphology dispersion, T-wave residuum	For BSPM: TLL (HR [CI] = 5.5 [2.0-14.6]), PCA3 (HR [CI] = 2.9 [1.0-8.0] as predictors of arrhythmic events. For 12-lead ECG, only TLL showed prediction (HR [CI] = 3.7 [1.4-9.9]). No parameter ⁱ BSPM or 12-lead ECG predicted total mortality.	Age, sex, BMI, hypertension, diabetes, prevalent CAD, smoking, albumin, LVEF, LVMI, LVH, left ventricular dilatation
	Follow-up (months)	26	45	32	1	71	20	26
	Endpoints	VT/VF	SCD	CVD	VT/VF	CVD	Arrhythmic events	CVD
	% Male	81	67	72	93	45	10	14
alysis	Age	59	56	63	63	52	61	64
this meta-ar	TCRT cutoff (ms)	-0.90	0.30	-0.47	-0.45	0.21	-0.02	-0.30
s included in	Sample size (n)	150	1297	650	27	5917	158	325
tics of the 13 studie.	Pathology	ICD implant (ischemic heart disease and dilated cardiomyopathy)	Bicycle stress test in ischemic heart disease	Heart failure	Heart failure	General population	Myocardial infarction	End-stage renal failure requiring hemodialysis
haracteris	Study design	۵.	٩	ц	2	۵	۵.	ц
TABLE 1 C	First author/ Year	Shi 2016	Kentta 2011	Huang 2009	Lin 2009	Porthan 2009	Korhonen 2009	Lin 2007

4 of 9

-WILEY

ntinued)
ပိ
-
ш
<u> </u>
m
٩

(Perkiomaki et al., 2006)	(Malik et al., 2004)	(Batchvarov et al., 2003)	(Zabel et al., 2002)	(Hnatkova et al., 2001)	(Zabel et al., 2000)	ascular death: FCG
Ŷ	9	~	21	9	9	cardiov
Age, gender, and clinical characteristics, including ejection fraction, history of AMI, diabetes, AMI type, AMI location, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, and statin, aspirin, warfarin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/ angiotensin-II receptor blocker, diuretic, and digitalis use	LVEF, mean HR, HRVi, TS	(univariate)	Age, LVEF, BSA, LVH, relative TWR, absolute TWR, CR, TMD	(univariate)	Age, HR, LVEF, SDNN (SD of RR intervals on 24 hr holter), B-blockers, reperfusion therapy	ventricular fihrillation: SCD sudden cardiac death: CVD
43	60	33	10	I.	32	a. VF
CVD	SCD	CVD	All-cause mortality	VT/VF	SCD	ricular tachvcardi
12	6	82	10	14	11	r vent
61	58	57	61	61	58	ihrillator: V
-0.76	-0.37	-0.88	-0.17	-0.12	-0.16	cardioverter-def
437	466	681	772	319	261	antahle
Myocardial infarction	Myocardial infarction	Myocardial infarction	Veterans with cardiovascular disease	Myocardial infarction	Myocardial infarction	retrosnective. ICD imul:
۵.	ц	2	ц	2	٩	.е В
Perkiömäki 2006	Malik 2004	Batchvarov 2003	Zabel 2002	Hnatkova 2001	Zabel 2000	P prospectiv

Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation analysis demonstrated that Kendall's tau took a value of -0.32 with p > .05, suggesting no significant publication bias. Egger's test demonstrated no significant asymmetry (intercept 0.67, t value 0.13; p > .05). To identify the source of the heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed by removing one study at a time to calculate the pooled mean difference (Figure 3). Removal of the Shi 2016 study led to a significant decrease in the mean difference to 0.23 ± 0.06 (Shi et al., 2016).

Subgroup analyses were performed for the different diseases. Data from six studies on myocardial infraction involving 2,322 subjects were included. The mean age was 52 years old with 82% male with a follow-up duration of 44 ± 5 months. All six studies consistently reported a positive association between decreased TCRT and increased risk of adverse events although the Korhonen 2009 and Zabel 2000 studies did not reach significance (Korhonen et al., 2009; Zabel et al., 2000). The pooled meta-analysis demonstrated that TCRT was significantly lower in event-positive compared to event-negative groups (mean difference = -0.36 ± 0.05 , p < .001; Figure 4). The Cochrane's Q value was greater than the degrees of freedom (10 vs. 5), suggesting that true effect size was different in these studies. I^2 took a value of 48%, suggesting the presence of moderate heterogeneity. Funnel plot plotting standard errors or precision against the logarithms of the odds ratio are shown in Figs S3 and S4, respectively. Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation analysis demonstrated that Kendall's tau took a value of 0 with p < .05, suggesting significant publication bias. Egger's test demonstrated no significant asymmetry (intercept 0.25, t value 0.29; p > .05). To identify the source of the heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed by removing one study at a time but this did not alter the pooled mean difference (Figure 5).

Data from two studies on heart failure involving 795 subjects were included. The mean age was 63 years old with 75% male. The two studies demonstrated opposite results, in associating increased risk of adverse events with higher and lower TCRT values. The pooled meta-analysis demonstrated that TCRT was not significantly different between event-positive and event-negative groups (mean difference = -0.01 ± 0.10 , p > .05; Figure 6). The Cochrane's Q value was greater than the degrees of freedom (5 vs. 1), suggesting that true effect size was different in these studies. l^2 took a value of 80%, suggesting the presence of substantial heterogeneity. To identify the source of the heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed by removing one study at a time but this did not alter the pooled mean difference (Figure 7).

4 | DISCUSSION

electrocardiography

Over the past decade, the relationship between the QRS complex and the T wave has been an area of intense research. Previous studies have demonstrated that the spatial QRS/T angle, which describes the angle between depolarization and repolarization, was an independent predictor of arrhythmic and mortality outcomes, as confirmed by a recent systematic review and meta-analysis (Zhang, Zhu, & Zhu, 2015). Total cosine R-to-T (TCRT) is the cosine of the angle between

Difference in means and 95% Cl

Lower TCRT

TSE ET AL.

1.00

Higher TCRT

	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	<i>p</i> -Value
Shi 2016	-1.582	0.039	0.002	-1.659	-1.506	-40.607	0.000
Kentta 2011	-0.370	0.107	0.011	-0.580	-0.160	-3.461	0.001
Huang 2009	-0.099	0.038	0.001	-0.174	-0.024	-2.574	0.010
Lin 2009	0.100	0.079	0.006	-0.055	0.255	1.262	0.207
Porthan 2009	-0.215	0.065	0.004	-0.342	-0.088	-3.312	0.001
Korhonen 2009	-0.160	0.103	0.011	-0.361	0.041	-1.560	0.119
Lin 2007	-0.020	0.031	0.001	-0.081	0.041	-0.644	0.519
Perkiömäki 2006	-0.508	0.157	0.025	-0.815	-0.201	-3.239	0.001
Malik 2004	-0.510	0.145	0.021	-0.795	-0.225	-3.510	0.000
Batchvarov 2003	-0.464	0.088	0.008	-0.637	-0.291	-5.254	0.000
Zabel 2002	-0.120	0.049	0.002	-0.215	-0.025	-2.465	0.014
Hnatkova 2001	-0.380	0.019	0.000	-0.418	-0.342	-19.809	0.000
Zabel 2000	-0.150	0.143	0.020	-0.430	0.130	-1.049	0.294
	-0.344	0.141	0.020	-0.621	-0.068	-2.443	0.015

Statistics for each study

FIGURE 2 Forest plot demonstrating the mean differences of TCRT between event-positive and event-negative patients in the different disease populations

Study name		5	tatistics wi	th study	removed	<u>t</u>		Difference in means (95%				
	Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>Z</i> -Value	<i>p</i> -Value		CI) with	study r	emoved	
Shi 2016	-0.225	0.060	0.004	-0.344	-0.107	-3.735	0.000			-		
Kentta 2011	-0.342	0.148	0.022	-0.633	-0.052	-2.308	0.021			_		
Huang 2009	-0.365	0.156	0.024	-0.671	-0.060	-2.341	0.019			_		
Lin 2009	-0.382	0.148	0.022	-0.671	-0.093	-2.588	0.010			-		
Porthan 2009	-0.355	0.151	0.023	-0.651	-0.060	-2.355	0.019			_		
Korhonen 2009	-0.360	0.148	0.022	-0.650	-0.069	-2.427	0.015			-		
Lin 2007	-0.372	0.156	0.024	-0.678	-0.066	-2.384	0.017			_		
Perkiömäki 2006	-0.332	0.147	0.022	-0.620	-0.043	-2.254	0.024			_		
Malik 2004	-0.331	0.147	0.022	-0.620	-0.043	-2.249	0.024			_		
Batchvarov 2003	-0.334	0.149	0.022	-0.627	-0.042	-2.243	0.025			_		
Zabel 2002	-0.364	0.153	0.023	-0.664	-0.064	-2.376	0.017			_		
Hnatkova 2001	-0.341	0.185	0.034	-0.704	0.021	-1.846	0.065					
Zabel 2000	-0.360	0.147	0.022	-0.649	-0.071	-2.444	0.015			-		
	-0.344	0.141	0.020	-0.621	-0.068	-2.443	0.015					
								-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00
									Lower TCRT		Higher TCRT	

FIGURE 3 Forest plot demonstrating the results of sensitivity analysis by removing one study at a time

the vectors of the QRS and T-wave loops in three-dimensional space, reflecting the spatial difference between depolarization and repolarization wavefronts. It can have values between -1 and +1, which correspond to $180^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$, respectively (Kentta et al., 2011). Smaller values reflect large differences in the direction of the two loops, which theoretically increases the likelihood of developing arrhythmias. In healthy individuals, TCRT is greater than 0.4 (Porthan et al., 2009). Vectorcardiographic (VCG) descriptors of ventricular electrophysiology, of which TCRT is an example, have several advantages over traditional ECG descriptors. These include ease of measurements, reduced susceptibility to observational biases, noise, problems of accurate definitions as well as better reproducibility.

TCRT has been used for risk stratification owing to its ability to predict arrhythmic or mortality outcomes. Thus, decreases in TCRT have been associated with increased ventricular arrhythmogenicity (Batchvarov, Hnatkova, Poloniecki, Camm, & Malik, 2004), sudden cardiac death (Malik, Hnatkova, & Batchvarov, 2004), cardiovascular mortality (Huang et al., 2009), and all-cause mortality (Porthan et al.,

Study name

Study name

Statistics for each study

7 of 9

Difference in means and 95% Cl

	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	<i>p-</i> Value
Korhonen 2009	-0.160	0.103	0.011	-0.361	0.041	-1.560	0.119
Perkiömäki 2006	-0.508	0.157	0.025	-0.815	-0.201	-3.241	0.001
Malik 2004	-0.510	0.145	0.021	-0.795	-0.225	-3.510	0.000
Batchvarov 2003	-0.464	0.088	0.008	-0.637	-0.291	-5.254	0.000
Hnatkova 2001	-0.380	0.019	0.000	-0.418	-0.342	-19.809	0.000
Zabel 2000	-0.150	0.143	0.020	-0.430	0.130	-1.049	0.294
	-0.377	0.018	0.000	-0.412	-0.341	-20.901	0.000



FIGURE 4 Forest plot demonstrating the mean differences of TCRT between event-positive and event-negative patients in myocardial infarction patients

Study name		5	d					
	Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>Z</i> -Value	<i>p</i> -Value	
Korhonen 2009	-0.384	0.018	0.000	-0.420	-0.348	-20.953	0.000	
Perkiömäki 2006	-0.375	0.018	0.000	-0.411	-0.339	-20.665	0.000	
Malik 2004	-0.375	0.018	0.000	-0.410	-0.339	-20.625	0.000	
Batchvarov 2003	-0.373	0.018	0.000	-0.409	-0.337	-20.255	0.000	
Hnatkova 2001	-0.352	0.053	0.003	-0.455	-0.249	-6.684	0.000	
Zabel 2000	-0.380	0.018	0.000	-0.416	-0.345	-20.935	0.000	
	-0.377	0.018	0.000	-0.412	-0.341	-20.901	0.000	



Higher TCRT

1.00

FIGURE 5 Forest plot demonstrating the results of sensitivity analysis by removing one study at a time for studies that examined outcomes in myocardial infarction patients

Study name		-	Statistics for each study						Difference in means and 95%		
	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	<i>p</i> -Value				
Huang 2009	-0.099	0.038	0.001	-0.174	-0.024	-2.575	0.010				
Lin 2009	0.100	0.079	0.006	-0.055	0.255	1.262	0.207				
	-0.012	0.099	0.010	-0.205	0.182	-0.117	0.907				
								-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50

FIGURE 6 Forest plot demonstrating the mean differences of TCRT between event-positive and event-negative patients in heart failure patients

2009). However, data from a number of studies have failed to demonstrate its prognostic value (Korhonen et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2007; Perkiomaki et al., 2006). Our meta-analysis found that a decrease in the TCRT was a significant predictor of ventricular arrhythmias and mortality. For subgroup analyses, TCRT demonstrated predictive value for risk stratification in myocardial infarction, but not in heart failure.

5 | LIMITATIONS

There are some limitations of this systematic review and metaanalysis. First, some studies included in our studies are retrospective studies, which may have more recall bias. Second, while our pooled mean difference for TCRT was significant for myocardial infraction

Lower TCRT



FIGURE 7 Forest plot demonstrating the results of sensitivity analysis by removing one study at a time for studies that examined outcomes in heart failure patients

who suffered from adverse cardiac events compared to those who did not, TCRT was not significantly different between event-positive and event-negative groups in heart failure. This is likely due to the small number of studies and small sample size.

CONCLUSION 6

TCRT can distinguish patients at high risk of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death from those at a low risk particularly in the context of myocardial infarction. It can provide additional risk stratification beyond the use of clinical and traditional ECG parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

GT thanks the Croucher Foundation of Hong Kong for the support of a Clinical Assistant Professorship.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

ORCID

Gary Tse D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5510-1253 Adrian M. Baranchuk D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3042-6569 Tong Liu (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0482-0738

REFERENCES

- Acar, B., Yi, G., Hnatkova, K., & Malik, M. (1999). Spatial, temporal and wavefront direction characteristics of 12-lead T-wave morphology. Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing, 37, 574–584.
- Batchvarov, V., Hnatkova, K., Ghuran, A., Poloniecki, J., Camm, A. J., & Malik, M. (2003). Ventricular gradient as a risk factor in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 26, 373-376
- Batchvarov, V. N., Hnatkova, K., Poloniecki, J., Camm, A. J., & Malik, M. (2004). Prognostic value of heterogeneity of ventricular repolarization in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. Clinical Cardiology, 27, 653-659.

- Belloch, J. A., Guillem, M. S., Climent, A., Millet, J., Husser, D., & Bollman, A. (2007). Comparison of different methods for the derivation of the vectorcardiogram from the ECG and morphology descriptors. Computers in Cardiology, 2007, 435-438.
- Gang, Y., Hnatkova, K., Mandal, K., Ghuran, A., & Malik, M. (2004). Preoperative electrocardiographic risk assessment of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 15, 1379-1386.
- Hnatkova, K., Ryan, S. J., Bathen, J., Acar, B., Batchvarov, V., Hoium, H. H., & Malik, M. (2001). T-wave morphology differences between patients with and without arrhythmic complication of ischemic heart disease. Journal of Electrocardiology, 34, 113–117.
- Huang, H.-C., Lin, L.-Y., Yu, H.-Y., & Ho, Y.-L. (2009). Risk stratification by Twave morphology for cardiovascular mortality in patients with systolic heart failure. Europace, 11, 1522-1528.
- Kentta, T., Karsikas, M., Junttila, M. J., Perkiömäki, J. S., Seppänen, T., Kiviniemi, A., ... Huikuri, H. V. (2011). QRS-T morphology measured from exercise electrocardiogram as a predictor of cardiac mortality. Europace, 13, 701-707.
- Korhonen, P., Husa, T., Konttila, T., Tierala, I., Mäkijärvi, M., Väänänen, H., & Toivonen, L. (2009). Complex T-wave morphology in body surface potential mapping in prediction of arrhythmic events in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiac dysfunction. Europace, 11, 514-520.
- Lin, C. Y., Lin, L. Y., & Chen, P. C. (2007). Analysis of T-wave morphology from the 12-lead electrocardiogram for prediction of long-term prognosis in patients initiating haemodialysis. Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation, 22, 2645-2652.
- Lin, Y. H., Lin, L. Y., Chen, Y. S., Huang, H. C., Lee, J. K., Ho, Y. L., Liao, L. C., & Chen, W. J. (2009). The association between t-wave morphology and life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with congestive heart failure. Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 32, 1173-1177.
- Malik, M., Hnatkova, K., & Batchvarov, V. N. (2004). Post infarction risk stratification using the 3-D angle between QRS complex and T-wave vectors. Journal of Electrocardiology, 37, 201-208.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. BMJ, 339, b2535.
- Ono, T., Saitoh, H., Itakura, S., Tateoka, K., Ono, I., Hnatkova, K., ... Malik, M. (2006). Characteristics of a new repolarization descriptor substituted for T-wave morphology analysis in patients with cardiomyopathy and myocardial infarction. Circulation Journal, 70, 1322-1326.
- Perkiomaki, J. S., Hyytinen-Oinas, M., Karsikas, M., Seppänen, T., Hnatkova, K., Malik, M., & Huikuri, H. V. (2006). Usefulness of T-wave loop and QRS complex loop to predict mortality after acute myocardial infarction. American Journal of Cardiology, 97, 353-360.
- Porthan, K., Viitasalo, M., Jula, A., Reunanen, A., Rapola, J., Väänänen, H., ... Oikarinen, L. (2009). Predictive value of electrocardiographic QT

interval and T-wave morphology parameters for all-cause and cardio-vascular mortality in a general population sample. *Heart Rhythm*, *6*, 1202–1208, 8.e1.

- Shi, B., Harding, S., & Larsen, P. (2016). Analysis of ECG measures of cardiac repolarization in relation to arrhythmic events in an implantable cardioverter defibrillator population. *Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology*, 22, e12390.
- Vicente, J., Johannesen, L., Mason, J. W., Crumb, W. J., Pueyo, E., Stockbridge, N., & Strauss, D. G. (2015). Comprehensive T wave morphology assessment in a randomized clinical study of dofetilide, quinidine, ranolazine, and verapamil. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 4, e001615.
- Zabel, M., Acar, B., Klingenheben, T., Franz, M. R., Hohnloser, S. H., & Malik, M. (2000). Analysis of 12-lead T-wave morphology for risk stratification after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*, 102, 1252–1257.
- Zabel, M., Malik, M., Hnatkova, K., Papademetriou, V., Pittaras, A., Fletcher, R. D., & Franz, M. R. (2002). Analysis of T-wave morphology from the 12-lead electrocardiogram for prediction of long-term prognosis in male US veterans. *Circulation*, 105, 1066–1070.

Zhang, X., Zhu, Q., Zhu, L., Jiang, H., Xie, J., Huang, W., & Xu, B. (2015). Spatial/frontal QRS-T angle predicts all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality: A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 10, e0136174.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Tse G, Gong M, Wong CW, et al. ; International Health Informatics Study (IHIS) Network. Total cosine R-to-T for predicting ventricular arrhythmic and mortality outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*. 2017;e12495. <u>https://doi. org/10.1111/anec.12495</u>