


Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Middle East Fertility Society Journal

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com

Original Article

Clinical outcome of assisted reproductive technologies in advanced aged men[☆]Marjan Omidi^a, Iman Halvaei^b, Mohammad Ali Khalili^{a,*}, Shahin Ghazali^a, Somayyeh Tahajjodi^a, Parvin Sabeti^a^a Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran^b Department of Anatomical Sciences, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 August 2016
Revised 21 December 2016
Accepted 7 January 2017
Available online xxxxx

Keywords:

Paternal age
ART
Live birth

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose was to establish whether the advanced male age may influence the outcomes in a controlled assisted reproductive technology (ART) program.**Design:** This is an experimental study.**Setting:** Research and Clinical Center for Infertility of Yazd.**Sample:** A total of 63 men undergoing ART cycles.**Material:** In a retrospective study, the outcome of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) in ART cycles in two groups of patients was studied. Patients regarding etiology of infertility were divided into two groups: (A) male factor infertility (n = 47), and (B) female factor infertility (n = 16). Sperm parameters, ART cycle characteristics and clinical outcomes were assessed between two groups.**Main outcome measures:** Clinical outcomes of ART in advanced aged men.**Results:** There were no significant differences in rates of sperm count and morphology between two groups, but the rate of spermatozoa with progressive motility were higher in group B in comparison with A ($P = 0.002$). The rates of high quality embryos, pregnancy and live birth showed no significant differences between the groups ($P = 0.4$, $P = 0.4$, $P = 0.2$, respectively).**Conclusion:** It appears that the clinical outcome of ART in male and female factor infertility was not influenced by advanced paternal age.Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Middle East Fertility Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

It seems that there is no controversy that increased maternal age has adverse influence on fertility potential [1]. Multiple reports have shown that advance maternal age resulted in decreased pregnancy and implantation rates, while increasing abortion rates in assisted reproductive technology (ART) cycles [2,3]. Additionally, decline in reproduction function in aged women is due to an increase in chromosomal abnormalities in oocytes and/or generated embryos [4,5]. Nevertheless, there is no certain consensus on male's age effect on reproductive outcomes, which is less described in the literature. Likewise, the relevance of advanced paternal age and success of ART cycles is still unclear [6]. Recently, data have shown that there was no adequate substantiation to prove the effects of paternal age on fertility outcomes [7]. Some

studies stated that after adjusting for female age in general population, advanced male age became a significant factor in time of achieving pregnancy. So, they suggested that independent of maternal age, paternal age can influence reproductive success [8,9].

The reports in ART cycles noted that the fertilization rates were significantly decreased in men who were over 50 years of age [10,11]. Dain et al. did not notice correlation between advanced paternal age and the rates of fertilization, implantation, pregnancy, miscarriage, or even live birth. The authors, however, found a significant decrease in the blastocyst formation rate associated with increased paternal age being due to genomic changes within the embryos [7]. Meanwhile, Beguería and associates [6] mentioned that embryo quality were similar in different male age groups. In the oocyte donation model, male aging was not correlated with the pregnancy outcomes [12,13].

The first step in monitoring of the male reproductive potential is semen analysis. However, the effect of paternal age on semen quality is currently controversial [9]. Majority of studies suggested

Peer review under responsibility of Middle East Fertility Society.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: khalili59@hotmail.com (M.A. Khalili).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mefs.2017.01.004>

1110-5690/Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Middle East Fertility Society.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).Please cite this article in press as: Omidi M., et al. Clinical outcome of assisted reproductive technologies in advanced aged men. Middle East Fertil Soc J (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mefs.2017.01.004>

a significant decrease in semen quality in relation to advance male age [11,14]. There is a hypothesis that age-dependent alternations of semen quality can be associated with anatomical, histological and hormonal changes [7]. The increasing of serum FSH has been linked to reduced sertoli cell function, degeneration of germ cells and subsequently decreased sperm production in aged men [15]. It is well known that a physiologic loss of germ cells in germinal epithelium and decrease in spermatogenic efficiency will occur in aged men [16]. Furthermore, there would be age-related alternations in the hypothalamic-pituitary-testicular axis due to decrease serum steroid levels in aging men [17]. On the other hand, disorders in the offspring of older fathers apparently result in genetic mutations in germ and sperm cells [18,19]. Regarding to sperm DNA damage, it has been shown that the paternal age is related to an increase in the level of sperm with DNA damage [15]. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to establish whether the advanced male age may influence the reproduction success in a controlled ART program in Iran.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

In this retrospective study, laboratory and clinical data from patients undergoing ICSI over 8 years from July 2006 to December 2014 were analyzed. The eligibility criteria for selecting study population were the age of ≥ 50 years for male partner. Patients regarding etiology of infertility were divided into two groups: (A) male factor infertility, and (B) female factor infertility. Sperm parameters, ART cycle characteristics and clinical outcomes were assessed between two groups. A total of 92 patients were selected for the study, but 29 cases were excluded, due to the rare sperm in their samples, embryo arresting, and loss of follow-up. Our study was approved by author's institute review board.

2.2. Semen analysis and sperm preparation

Semen samples were obtained by either masturbation or testicular biopsy. Routine macroscopic parameters were applied. Also, microscopic analysis of sperm concentration, motility, viability and morphology were done according to WHO guidelines [20]. The specimens were prepared using discontinuous density gradient technique. In this method, 1 mL of 80% Pure Sperm solution was overlaid with 1 mL of 40% solution. After that, 1 mL of semen was added to the top layer of gradient, then centrifuged at 300g for 20 min. The seminal plasma supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 5 mL human tubal fluid supplemented with 5% human serum albumin (HTF-HSA), and centrifuged at 300g for 7 min. The resultant pellet was resuspended in 0.5 mL of HTF-HAS, and stored at the 37C and 6% CO₂ for insemination.

2.3. Ovarian stimulation and ICSI procedure

Ovarian stimulation was achieved by long pituitary down regulation using a combination of a gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist or antagonist and FSH (Gonal-F; Serono, Geneva, Switzerland). The recombinant hCG (rhCG; IBSA Co, Switzerland) was administered when the maximum diameter of leading follicles were exceeded 18 mm, followed 36 h later by oocyte retrieval. The retrieved cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) were incubated at 37 °C in 6% CO₂ and 95% air until denuding for ICSI. The COCs were denuded of their cells by 30–60 s exposure to HEPES buffered medium containing 80 IU mL⁻¹ hyaluronidase (Irvine Sci, CA, USA) followed by pipetting the COCs with a pasture pipette. ICSI procedure was performed as described previously [21].

2.4. Fertilization and embryo assessments

Oocytes were checked for signs of fertilization 16–18 h after injection. Fertilization was approved by presence of two pronuclei (2PN) and two polar bodies. Two day embryos were graded as follow: Grade A: equal size blastomeres without fragmentation, Grade B: slightly unequal blastomere, up to 10% cytoplasmic fragments. Grade C: unequal sized blastomeres up to 50% fragments and large granules. Grade D: unequal blastomeres with significant fragmentation and large black granules [22]. Grades A and B were regarded as high quality embryos. Results of chemical pregnancy were determined 14 days after embryo transfer (ET) on day 2.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data were presented as median (min–max) and percentage for quantitative and qualitative data, respectively. Man-Whitney U test and chi-square test was applied wherever appropriate to compare between two groups. All hypotheses were considered two tailed and significant level was defined at p less than 0.05.

3. Results

Table 1 summarizes the demographic and cycle characteristics of the male and female patients. There were no significant differences in the male age between two groups, but the female age was significantly higher in group B in comparison to group A ($P = 0.007$). In group B, the etiology of infertility was as follows: tubal factor, ovarian failure, PCOs, and endometriosis. Spermatozoa were retrieved from testis in 23.4% of the patients in group A; while, all semen samples were collected by ejaculation in group B. There were no significant differences for rates of sperm count and morphology between two groups, but percentage of spermatozoa with progressive motility were significantly higher in group B in comparison with A ($P = 0.002$). In addition, the number of retrieved oocytes had an increasing trend in group A, but the difference was insignificant. In total, 386 COCs were retrieved in group A, of which 341 were at metaphase II stage and 229 embryos were formed. In group B, however, 95 COCs were retrieved, 83 oocytes were mature and 54 embryos were developed in vitro (Table 1). The rates of high quality embryos (A + B), pregnancy and live birth showed no significant differences between the groups (Table 2).

Table 1

Comparison of patients demographic and cycle characteristics between two groups of A (male factor infertility) and B (female factor infertility).

Characteristics	Group A (n = 47)	Group B (n = 16)	P-value
Male age (year)	53 (50–69)	51 (50–70)	0.053
Female age (year)	32 (20–50)	40 (22–50)	0.007
COC number	7 (2–20)	5 (1–14)	0.054
MII oocyte	6 (2–16)	4 (1–12)	0.06
Formed embryos	4 (1–15)	3 (1–9)	0.057
Transferred embryos	3 (1–5)	2 (1–4)	0.5
Sperm source			
Ejaculate	36	16	0.02
Non-ejaculated	11	0	
Sperm count ($\times 10^6$ /mL)	40 (2–400)	57.5 (35–170)	0.051
Progressive motility (%)	23 (0–79)	43.5 (2–75)	0.002
Non-progressive motility (%)	12 (0–45)	13.5 (7–22)	0.9
Normal morphology (%)	17 (1–60)	14 (5–45)	0.4

Data are presented as median (min–max).

COC: cumulus oocyte complex.

MII: metaphase II.

Table 2

Clinical outcomes of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) in group A (male factor infertility) and group B (female factor infertility).

Outcomes	Group A	Group B	P-value
High quality embryos	51	56.2	0.4
Pregnancy (%)	23.4 (11/47)	18.7 (3/16)	0.4
Live birth (%)	45.4 (5/11)	0 (0/3)	0.2

Data are presented as percentage.

4. Discussion

In recent decades, delaying child birth has become a trend reflecting increased life expectancy and changing patterns of marriage and divorce. The reproductive problems enhance when couples' age increases and in this regards, the negative effect of woman's age on fertility has been well documented [23]. Moreover, it is important to know the impact of paternal age on fertility and outcomes of ART in couples whom the male partner is fifty years old or more. A noticeable point in this study was insignificant differences in the paternal age between two groups with different infertility etiology. But, maternal age was significantly different which may be due to categorizing the patients based on the infertility risk factors. The analysis demonstrated that the median female age was 32 years in group A versus 40 years in group B.

Regarding the embryo quality and live birth rates, our results showed no significant differences among male and female factor groups. Interestingly, we found that the confounding factors, such as the number of COC, MII oocytes, formed as well as transferred embryos were similar between two groups of the patients. Also, only the semen parameter of progressive motility was higher in group B compared to group A, and sperm concentration difference was in border to significant. This difference in motility can be regarded to different sampling methods, as all samples were collected by masturbation in group B, although 76.6% of semen samples were ejaculated in group A. There are many studies that show there is a significant negative correlation between male age and semen volume as well as percentage of motile spermatozoa [6,11,24]. In contrary, there are some reports in favor of no relationship between sperm motility, concentration and morphology and male age [7,25].

One recent investigation assessed ART outcomes in infertile women aged 17–25 years as younger patients. It was found that the number of oocytes and fertilization rate were higher in younger patients; while, embryo quality and chemical pregnancy rate were similar. Moreover, semen analysis of the patient's partners showed a significant lower percentage in younger group [26]. Therefore, it was concluded that paternal age did not play a noticeable role in ART success rates.

In our study, ICSI was applied for all the patients, thus it is possible that the negative effects of low quality semen samples were reduced. On the other hand, one study offered that the good quality oocytes could compensate the low quality of less perfect sperm [6]. Aboulghar et al. [27] analyzed the effect of different paternal age on the outcome of ICSI, as they controlled groups for maternal age. They mentioned that increased paternal age has negative effect on fertilization rate, but has no relationship with pregnancy rate. Also, the authors declared that the sperm motility was higher in younger men; however, they suggested it was unrelated when ICSI was performed for all cases [27]. Furthermore, in agreement with other reports considered in a review study [7], we found no relation between male age and ART outcomes. However, in an egg donation program, it was shown a limited contribution of paternal age to live birth rate [13]. Additionally, Frattarelli et al. [11] found that though the early embryo development may not be affected by spermatozoa from aged men, but blastocyst forma-

tion and live birth rate can be altered significantly [11]. In another oocyte donation study, it was demonstrated any significant correlation between advanced male age and the outcomes of egg donation cycles, but the rate of early pregnancy loss was increased [28]. The possible cause of these finding can be the decrease of sperm DNA integrity with age enhancing may be related to pregnancy loss [29,30].

Our data showed that we had no live birth in female factor group, despite the live birth rate was approximately 45% in male factor group. One probable reason maybe the significant increase of female age in group B in comparison to group A (Table 1). Therefore, we conclude that both advance maternal age and female factor infertility affects the reproductive potency more than the male age.

In conclusion, our data showed that the advance paternal age alone has no detrimental effect on rate of pregnancy and live birth. On the other hand, the maternal age seemed to be a more potent factor to predict the ART outcomes in comparison to paternal age. Studies with larger sample size are required to elucidate final conclusion.

Author contribution

M. Omid: Data collection, Data analysis, Manuscript writing.

I. Halvaei: Data collection, Data analysis, Manuscript writing.

M.A. Khalili: Project development, Data management, Manuscript editing.

S.H. Ghazali: Data collection, Manuscript writing.

S. Tahajjodi: Data collection.

P. Sabeti: Manuscript editing.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Mr S. Ghasemi for his considerable help during the study.

References

- [1] Balasch J. Ageing and infertility: an overview. *Gynecol. Endocrinol.* 2010;26:855–860.
- [2] Ziebe S, Loft A, Petersen JH, Andersen AG, Lindenberg S, Petersen K, et al. Embryo quality and developmental potential is compromised by age. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2001;80:169–174.
- [3] Klonoff-Cohen HS, Natarajan L. The effect of advancing paternal age on pregnancy and live birth rates in couples undergoing in vitro fertilization or gamete intrafallopian transfer. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2004;191:507–514.
- [4] Munne S, Alikani M, Tomkin G, Grifo J, Cohen J. Embryo morphology, developmental rates, and maternal age are correlated with chromosome abnormalities. *Fertil. Steril.* 1995;64:382–391.
- [5] Omid M, Khalili MA, Nahangi H, Ashourzadeh S, Rahimpour M. Does women's age influence zona pellucida birefringence of metaphase II oocytes in in-vitro maturation program? *Iran. J. Reprod. Med.* 2013;11:823.
- [6] Beguería R, García D, Obradors A, Poisot F, Vassena R, Vermaeve V. Paternal age and assisted reproductive outcomes in ICSI donor oocytes: is there an effect of older fathers? *Human Reprod.* 2014. deu 189.
- [7] Dain L, Auslander R, Dirnfeld M. The effect of paternal age on assisted reproduction outcome. *Fertil. Steril.* 2011;95:1–8.
- [8] Hassan MA, Killick SR. Effect of male age on fertility. evidence for the decline in male fertility with increasing age. *Fertil. Steril.* 2003;79:1520–1527.
- [9] Kovac JR, Addai J, Smith RP, Coward RM, Lamb DJ, Lipshultz LI. The effects of advanced paternal age on fertility. *Asian J. Androl.* 2013;15:723.
- [10] Luna M, Finkler E, Barritt J, Bar-Chama N, Sandler B, Copperman AB, et al. Paternal age and assisted reproductive technology outcome in ovum recipients. *Fertil. Steril.* 2009;92:1772–1775.
- [11] Frattarelli JL, Miller KA, Miller BT, Elkind-Hirsch K, Scott Jr RT. Male age negatively impacts embryo development and reproductive outcome in donor oocyte assisted reproductive technology cycles. *Fertil. Steril.* 2008;90:97–103.
- [12] Paulson RJ, Milligan RC, Sokol RZ. The lack of influence of age on male fertility. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2001;184:818–824.
- [13] Whitcomb BW, Turzanski-Fortner R, Richter KS, Kipersztok S, Stillman RJ, Levy MJ, et al. Contribution of male age to outcomes in assisted reproductive technologies. *Fertil. Steril.* 2011;95:147–151.

- [14]. Omran H, Bakhiet M, Dashti M. Evaluation of age effects on semen parameters of infertile males. *Andrology*. 2013;2:2167–2250.
- [15]. Belloc S, Hazout A, Zini A, Merviel P, Cabry R, Chahine H, et al. How to overcome male infertility after 40: influence of paternal age on fertility. *Maturitas*. 2014;78:22–29.
- [16]. Holstein A-F, Schulze W, Davidoff M. Understanding spermatogenesis is a prerequisite for treatment. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.*. 2003;1:107.
- [17]. Neaves WB, Johnson L, Porter JC, Parker Jr CR, Petty CS. Leydig cell numbers, daily sperm production, and serum gonadotropin levels in aging men. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*. 1984;59:756–763.
- [18]. Zhu JL, Madsen KM, Vestergaard M, Olesen AV, Basso O, Olsen J. Paternal age and congenital malformations. *Human Reprod.*. 2005;20:3173–3177.
- [19]. Tsuchiya KJ, Takagai S, Kawai M, Matsumoto H, Nakamura K, Nakamura K, Minabe Y, et al.. Advanced paternal age associated with an elevated risk for schizophrenia in offspring in a Japanese population. *Schizophr. Res.*. 2005;76:337–342.
- [20]. Organization WH. *Packages of Interventions 2010*. Geneva: WHO; 2010.
- [21]. Halvaei I, Khalili MA, Soleimani M, Razi MH. Evaluating the role of first polar body morphology on rates of fertilization and embryo development in ICSI cycles. *Int. J. Fertil. Steril.*. 2011;5:110–115.
- [22]. Hill G, Freeman M, Bastias M, Rogers B, Herbert 3rd C, Osteen K, et al.. The influence of oocyte maturity and embryo quality on pregnancy rate in a program for in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. *Fertil. Steril.*. 1989;52:801–806.
- [23]. Maheshwari A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S. Effect of female age on the diagnostic categories of infertility. *Human Reprod.*. 2008;23:538–542.
- [24]. Rolf C, Behre H, Nieschlag E. Reproductive parameters of older compared to younger men of infertile couples. *Int. J. Androl.*. 1996;19:135–142.
- [25]. Spandorfer SD, Avrech OM, Colombero LT, Palermo GD, Rosenwaks Z. Effect of parental age on fertilization and pregnancy characteristics in couples treated by intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Human Reprod.*. 1998;13:334–338.
- [26]. Etebary S, Yari N, Golestan Jahromi M, Khalili M. Are ART outcomes more successful in younger women? A retrospective study. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2014;1–4.
- [27]. Aboulghar M, Mansour R, Al-Inany H, Abou-Setta AM, Aboulghar M, Mourad L, et al.. Paternal age and outcome of intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*. 2007;14:588–592.
- [28]. Gu L, Zhang H, Yin L, Bu Z, Zhu G. Effect of male age on the outcome of in vitro fertilization: oocyte donation as a model. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*. 2012;29:331–334.
- [29]. Moskovtsev SI, Willis J, Mullen JBM. Age-related decline in sperm deoxyribonucleic acid integrity in patients evaluated for male infertility. *Fertil. Steril.*. 2006;85:496–499.
- [30]. Gil-Villa AM, Cardona-Maya W, Agarwal A, Sharma R, Cadavid Á. Assessment of sperm factors possibly involved in early recurrent pregnancy loss. *Fertil. Steril.*. 2010;94:1465–1472.